

Risk Register - Haringey Pension Fund

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
GOVERNANCE			
1	GOV1	Pension Fund Objectives are not defined and agreed leading to lack of focus of strategy to facilitate the aims of the LGPS.	3
2	GOV2	Frequent and/or extensive turnover of committee members causing a loss of technical and operational knowledge about the Fund and an inexperienced Committee/Board.	16
3	GOV3	Members have insufficient knowledge of regulations, guidance and best practice to make good decisions.	12
4	GOV4	Member non-attendance at training events.	8
5	GOV5	Officers lack the knowledge and skills required to effectively advise elected members and/or carry out administrative duties.	4
6	GOV6	Committee members have undisclosed conflicts of interest.	3
7	GOV7	The Committee's decision making process is too rigid to allow for the making of expedient decisions leading to an inability to respond to problems and/or to exploit opportunities.	4
8	GOV8	Known risks not monitored leading to adverse financial, reputational or resource impact.	4
9	GOV9	Failure to recognise new Risks and/or opportunities.	4
10	GOV10	Weak procurement process leads to legal challenge or failure to secure the best value for the value when procuring new services.	5
11	GOV11	Failure to review existing contracts means that opportunities are not exploited.	8

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
INVESTMENTS			
39	INV1	That the assumptions underlying the Investment and Funding Strategies are inconsistent.	10
40	INV2	That Fund liabilities are not correctly understood and as a consequence assets are not allocated appropriately.	5
41	INV3	Incorrect understanding of employer characteristics e.g. strength of covenant.	10
42	INV4	The Fund doesn't take expert advice when determining Investment Strategy.	5
43	INV5	Strategic investment advice received from Investment Consultants is either incorrect or inappropriate for Fund.	10
44	INV6	Investment Manager Risk - this includes both the risk that the wrong manager is appointed and /or that the manager doesn't follow the investment approach set out in the Investment Management agreement.	10
45	INV7	Relevant information relating to investments is not communicated to the Committee in accordance with the Fund's Governance arrangements.	4
46	INV8	The risks associated with the Fund's assets are not understood resulting in the Fund taking either too much or too little risk to achieve its funding objective.	10
47	INV9	Actual asset allocations move away from strategic benchmark.	12
48	INV10	No modelling of liabilities and cash flow is undertaken.	5
49	INV11	The risk that the investment strategy adopted by London CIV through fund manager appointments does not fully meet the needs of the Fund.	15

Risk Register - Haringey Pension Fund

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
---------	---------	------	--------------

GOVERNANCE			
12	GOV12	Weak process and policies around communicating with a scheme members and employers means that decisions are not available for scrutiny.	3
13	GOV13	Lack of engagement from employers/members means that communicating decisions becomes a "tick box" exercise and accountability is not real.	9
14	GOV14	Failure to comply with legislation and regulations leads to illegal actions/decisions resulting in financial loss and / or reputational damage	5
15	GOV15	Failure to comply with guidance issued by The Pensions Regulator (TPR) and Scheme Advisory Board (SAB), or other bodies, resulting in reputational damage.	10
16	GOV16	Pension fund asset pooling restricts Haringey Pension Fund's ability to fully implement a desired mandate	10
17	GOV17	The Fund adopts and follows ill-suited investment strategy.	10

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
---------	---------	------	--------------

COMMUNICATION			
50	COM1	Members don't make an informed decision when exercising their pension options whilst employers cannot make informed decisions when exercising their discretions leading to possible complaints and appeals against the Fund	12
51	COM2	Communication is overcomplicated and technical leading to a lack of engagement and understanding by the user (including members and employers).	6
52	COM3	Employer doesn't understand or carry out their legal responsibilities under relevant legislation.	12
53	COM4	Apathy from members and employers if communication is irrelevant or lacks impact leading to uninformed users.	9
54	COM5	Employers don't meet their statutory requirements leading to possible reporting of breaches to the Pension Regulator.	8
55	COM6	Lack of information from Employers impacts on the administration of the Fund, places strain on the partnership between Fund and Employer.	12

LEGISLATION			
18	LEG1	Failure to adhere to LGPS legislation (including regulations, order from the Secretary of State and any updates from The Pension Regulator) leading to financial or reputational damage	5
19	LEG2	Lack of access to appropriate legislation, best practice or guidance could lead to the Fund acting illegally.	5

Risk Register - Haringey Pension Fund

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
20	LEG3	Lack of skills or resource to understand complex regulatory changes or understand their impact.	8

ACCOUNTING			
21	ACC1	The Pension Fund Statement of Accounts does not represent a true and fair view of the Fund's financing and assets.	10
22	ACC2	Internal controls are not in place to protect against fraud/mismanagement.	8
23	ACC3	The Fund does not have in place a robust internal monitoring and reconciliation process leading to incorrect figures in the accounts.	8
24	ACC4	Market value of assets recorded in the Statement of Accounts is incorrect leading to a material misstatement and potentially a qualified audit opinion.	10
25	ACC5	Inadequate monitoring of income (contributions) leading to cash flow problems.	4
26	ACC6	Rate of contributions from employers' in the Fund is not in line with what is specified in actuarial ratings and adjustment certificate potentially leading to an increased funding deficit or surplus.	5
27	ACC7	The fund fails to recover adhoc /miscellaneous income adding to the deficit.	8
28	ACC8	Transfers out increase significantly as members transfer to DC funds to access cash through new pension freedoms.	12

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
---------	---------	------	--------------

FUNDING/LIABILITY			
56	FLI1	Funding Strategy and Investment considered in isolation by Officers, Committee and their separate actuarial and investment advisors	10
57	FLI2	Inappropriate Funding Strategy set at Fund and employer level despite being considered in conjunction with Investment Strategy.	10
58	FLI3	Inappropriate Investment and Funding Strategy set that increases risk of future contribution rate increases.	10
59	FLI4	Processes not in place to capture or failure to correctly understand changes to risk characteristics of employers and adapting investment/funding strategies.	10
60	FLI5	Processes not in place to capture or review when an employer may be leaving the LGPS.	10
61	FLI6	Processes not in place to capture or review funding levels as employer approaches exiting the LGPS.	10
62	FLI7	Investment strategy is static, inflexible and does not meet employers and the Fund's objectives.	5
63	FLI8	Process not in place to ensure new employers admitted to the scheme have appropriate guarantor or bond in place.	5
64	FLI9	Level of bond not reviewed in light of change in employers pension liabilities.	8
65	FLI10	Processes not in place to capture or review covenant of individual employers.	8
66	FLI11	Processes not in place to capture and understand changes in key issues that drive changes to pension liabilities.	5

Risk Register - Haringey Pension Fund

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
---------	---------	------	--------------

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
---------	---------	------	--------------

ADMINISTRATION			
29	ADM1	Failure to act within the appropriate legislative and policy framework could lead to illegal actions by the Fund and also complaints against the Fund.	10
30	ADM2	Pension structure is inappropriate to deliver a first class service	5
31	ADM3	Insufficiently trained or experienced staff leading to knowledge gaps	12
32	ADM4	Failure of pension administration system resulting in loss of records and incorrect pension benefits being paid or delays to payment.	5
33	ADM5	Failure to pay pension benefits accurately leading to under or over payments.	8
34	ADM6	Failure of pension payroll system resulting in pensioners not being paid in a timely manner.	8
35	ADM7	Not dealing properly with complaints leading to escalation that ends ultimately with the ombudsman	8
36	ADM8	Data protection procedures non-existent or insufficient leading to poor security for member data	10
37	ADM9	Loss of funds through fraud or misappropriation by officers leading to negative impact on reputation of the Fund as well as financial loss.	5
38	ADM10	Officers do not have appropriate skills and knowledge to perform their roles resulting in the service not being provided in line with best practice and legal requirements. Succession planning is not in place leading to reduction of knowledge when an officer leaves.	10

Colour	Risk Level
	Low
	Moderate
	High
	Very High

Risk Register - Haringey Pension Fund

ADMINISTRATION								
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likelihood	Probability	Responsibility	Timescale
29	ADM1	Failure to act within the appropriate legislative and policy framework could lead to illegal actions by the Fund and also complaints against the Fund.	<p>Ensure staff are adequately trained.</p> <p>Appropriate checking processes.</p> <p>Professional advice. Close working with other Funds. Policies kept up to date and discussed at PCF.</p>	5	2	10	PCB; HoCF; HoP; PAM	Ongoing
30	ADM2	Pension structure is inappropriate to deliver a first class service	New structure implemented from October 2016. Officers feel the new structure is functioning well, and that having all pensions staff in one team rather than split between HR and Finance is beneficial. The objectives of the pensions teams are being met.	5	1	5	HoP; PAM	Ongoing
31	ADM3	Insufficiently trained or experienced staff leading to knowledge gaps	<p>Training programme for staff including CIPD qualification in some places. Regular briefings and updates on LGPS changes from CIPFA and other training providers.</p> <p>Staff in pensions administration and investments/accounting attend events, conferences and training sessions. The Head of Pensions, and Senior Pensions Accountants are both CCAB qualified accountants who complete annual CPD requirements.</p>	4	3	12	DoF; HoP	Ongoing

Risk Register - Haringey Pension Fund

ADMINISTRATION								
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likeli- hood	Proba- bility	Respon- sibility	Timescale
32	ADM4	Failure of pension administration system resulting in loss of records and incorrect pension benefits being paid or delays to payment.	<p>Pensioner administration system Altair is subject to daily software backups and off-site duplication of records.</p> <p>The business recovery plan once implemented allows the pension administration system to be run from an alternative site.</p>	5	1	5	PAM	Ongoing
33	ADM5	Failure to pay pension benefits accurately leading to under or over payments.	<p>The pension administration system, Altair, allows for all pensioner benefits to be automatically calculated by the administration system.</p> <p>Pension benefits payments are double checked by another team member before payments released. They are also checked by the Pensions Manger and Head of Pensions or S151 Officer before payments are authorised on SAP.</p>	4	2	8	PAM	Ongoing
34	ADM6	Failure of pension payroll system resulting in pensioners not being paid in a timely manner.	<p>Pensioner payroll system is subject to daily software backups and off-site duplication of records.</p> <p>The business recovery plan once implemented allows the pension administration system to be run from an alternative site.</p>	4	2	8	PAM	Ongoing

Risk Register - Haringey Pension Fund

ADMINISTRATION								
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likelihood	Probability	Responsibility	Timescale
35	ADM7	Not dealing properly with complaints leading to escalation that ends ultimately with the ombudsman	<p>The Fund has an Internal Dispute Resolution Policy (IDRP) which has been approved by the Committee. This was last approved in February 2017.</p> <p>In attempting to resolve any complaints by members, the IDRP will guide officers to ensure that due process is applied through out the process.</p>	4	2	8	PCB; HoP; PAM	Ongoing
36	ADM8	Data protection procedures non-existent or insufficient leading to poor security for member data	<p>The Council's data protection policy is issued to and signed by all staff.</p> <p>The Council has in place a system that ensures pension fund data is sufficiently protected.</p> <p>Staff trained in data protection and regularly reminded of its importance.</p>	5	2	10	HoP; PAM	Ongoing
37	ADM9	Loss of funds through fraud or misappropriation by officers leading to negative impact on reputation of the Fund as well as financial loss.	Robust accounting checks and adherence with best practice including undertaking regular reconciliation of payments undertaken or received into the Fund.	5	1	5	HoP	Ongoing

Risk Register - Haringey Pension Fund

ADMINISTRATION								
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likeli- hood	Proba- bility	Respon- sibility	Timescale
38	ADM10	Officers do not have appropriate skills and knowledge to perform their roles resulting in the service not being provided in line with best practice and legal requirements. Succession planning is not in place leading to reduction of knowledge when an officer leaves.	<p>The selection process for recruiting officers is rigorous and focussed on the requirements of the role. Also detailed job descriptions/person specification are used to wittle down and appoint officers with the right level of skills, knowledge and experience.</p> <p>Training/Personal Development plans are put in place for each staff member following annual performance appraisal. Results of recent My Conversation appraisals within the department have been positive.</p>	5	2	10	HoP	Ongoing

COMMUNICATIONS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK								
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Probability	Overall Risk Rating	Responsibility	Timescale
50	COM1	Members don't make an informed decision when exercising their pension options whilst employers cannot make informed decisions when exercising their discretions leading to possible complaints and appeals against the Fund	<p>Communication Strategy in place that outlines the most appropriate mode of communication and how the Fund will communicate with all stakeholders including its members and employers.</p> <p>Member provided with explanatory notes and guidance to enable them to make informed decision and given access to further pension support.</p>	4	3	12	PAM; HoP	Ongoing
51	COM2	Communication is overcomplicated and technical leading to a lack of engagement and understanding by the user (including members and employers).	Members and Employers are provided with explanatory notes, factsheets, access to a pension help desk and a dedicated Communications Team. In addition the Fund's website provides a one stop shop for information about the Scheme and benefits.	3	2	6	PAM; HoP	Ongoing

Increasing number of smaller employers in the fund means likelihood of this is increased

COMMUNICATIONS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK								
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Probability	Overall Risk Rating	Responsibility	Timescale
52	COM3	Employer doesn't understand or carry out their legal responsibilities under relevant legislation.	Ensure information communicated to Employers is clear and relevant by using simple understandable wording. Where available use standard template/information from the LGA.	4	3	12	PAM; HoP	Ongoing
53	COM4	Apathy from members and employers if communication is irrelevant or lacks impact leading to uninformed users.	Ensure all communication and literature is up to date and relevant and reflects the latest position within the pensions environment including LGPS regulations and other relevant overriding legislation.	3	3	9	PAM; HoP	Ongoing

COMMUNICATIONS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Probability	Overall Risk Rating	Responsibility	Timescale
54	COM5	Employers don't meet their statutory requirements leading to possible reporting of breaches to the Pension Regulator.	<p>Provide training to employers that is specific to their roles and responsibilities in the LGPS. Employer access to a portal with regular updates in line with legislation.</p> <p>The Pensions Manager and other staff carry out site visits to employers as necessary to provide information and training to them.</p>	4	2	8	PAM; HoP	Ongoing
55	COM6	Lack of information from Employers impacts on the administration of the Fund, places strain on the partnership between Fund and Employer.	All forms available on our website and Employer has access to specialist support from Fund Officers.	4	3	12	PAM; HoP	Ongoing

RED RATED RISKS								
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Controls/Mitigations	Impact	Probability	Overall Risk Rating	Responsibility	Timescale
2	GOV2	Frequent and/or extensive turnover of committee members causing a loss of technical and operational knowledge about the Fund and an inexperienced Committee/Board.	<p>The nature of Council appointees to the Fund means that there is likely to be some annual turnover of appointments to the Pensions Committee. However, Full Council through Democratic Services has been made aware of the consequences of constant turnover of Pensions Committee members, and the outgoing Committee and Board of April 2018 wrote to the Chief Whips of both parties in relation to this.</p> <p>A comprehensive training programme that is in line with CIPFA guidance/The Pension Regulator has been developed and is continuously reviewed/updated.</p> <p>Training needs analyses undertaken annually to identify knowledge gaps and training programme adapted accordingly</p> <p>New members required to complete The Pensions Regulators public service toolkit modules as a minimum requirement.</p> <p>All members are encouraged to attend training events (internal/external) to</p>	4	4	16	PCB; HoP	Ongoing, but review in May 2019

49	INV11	<p>The risk that the investment strategy adopted by London CIV through fund manager appointments does not fully meet the needs of the Fund.</p>	<p>The Fund is a founding member of London CIV and actively engages with them.</p> <p>The CIV is undertaking a Governance review which has yet to be implemented in full, so it is unclear exactly how Haringey members and officers will be represented within the CIV's new governance structures.</p> <p>The CIV has to reach consensus among its 32 funds, there is therefore a persistent risk that the full complement of mandates in the Fund may not be replicated by London CIV. However, there is acknowledgement within LGPS that more niche illiquid mandates will not transition into the pools due to the inefficiencies involved.</p> <p>Haringey has had a number of interactions with the CIV, in relation to fund managers, which have been generally positive. Haringey has benefited from fee savings, and has a number of investments that are either via the CIV or under the CIV's oversight.</p>	5	3	15	HoP	Ongoing
----	-------	---	--	---	---	----	-----	---------